



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/853,428

05/10/2001

George Brainard

BRA01-NP002

6772

44321 7590 08/21/2009
PATRICIA A. WENGER
201 NORTH JACKSON STREET
MEDIA, PA 19063

EXAMINER

GIBSON, ROY DEAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3739

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/21/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 09/853,428	Applicant(s) BRAINARD, GEORGE	
	Examiner Roy D. Gibson	Art Unit 3739	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
- Claim(s) allowed: _____.
- Claim(s) objected to: _____.
- Claim(s) rejected: 31,34,35,37-41 and 44.
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Roy D. Gibson/
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit: 3739

Continuation of 13. Other: For the record the examiner has presented below a brief summary of the actions taken by him and the applicant's representative regarding the amendments after final and telephone interviews with the aim of placing the application in Condition for Allowance.

First Amendment after final, filed on 6/30/2009 (within two months of the Final rejection):

The examiner initiated a telephone interview with Patricia Wanger on about 7/15/2009 to discuss the claims, in particular independent claim 31. The examiner pointed out that addition of "in the regulation of circadian physiology" would be appropriate to overcome the Seafoss et al. reference. Also the examiner pointed out that claims 2, 12 and 14 recited disorders, etc that were not consistent with "the regulation of circadian physiology"

On 7/24/2009 Ms. Wanger filed an amendment to correct the issues discussed in the interview of 7/15/2009 above, cancelling claims 2, 12 and 14. After further review the examiner telephoned Ms. Wanger again on or about 7/26/2009 with the new concern that this amendment was not completely consistent with the recitation in the last four lines of claim 31, namely "stimulating the photoreceptor system for at least one of the circadian, photoneural, neuroendocrine or neurobehavioral systems". Also the examiner pointed out that the limitation of claim 36 was included in claim 34, the limitation of claim 42 was included in claim 40, and that the limitation of claim 45 was included in claim 45.

On 7/30/2009 Ms. Wanger filed an amendment to correct the issues discussed in the interview of 7/26/2009 which seemed to be acceptable to the examiner. Claims 36, 42 and 45 were canceled by Ms. Wanger. However, after further consideration of the support for all the language of the independent claim 31, it was noted by the examiner that the word "neurobehavioral" did not appear in the Specification and, therefore, was not an appropriate antecedent as required by MPEP 608.01 (o).

The examiner telephoned Ms. Wanger on or about August 5 to discuss this issue. The examiner suggested that this be deleted from the claim in order to correct this issue.

In summary the amendments filed on 6/30 and 7/24/09 have not been entered into record, but the claim amendments filed on 7/30/2009 will be entered if the approval from Ms. Wanger corrects this last issue.